

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF CANADA




COMMISSION GÉOLOGIQUE DU CANADA

**GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF CANADA OPEN FILE 2690
(NTS 14C and parts of 14D and 24A)**

**CANADA - NEWFOUNDLAND COOPERATION AGREEMENT
ON MINERAL DEVELOPMENT (1990-1994)**

**REGIONAL LAKE SEDIMENT AND WATER
GEOCHEMICAL RECONNAISSANCE DATA
NORTHERN LABRADOR**



Friske, P.W.B., McCurdy, M.W., Day, S.J., Gross, H., Lynch, J.J., Durham, C.C. (1993): National Geochemical Reconnaissance Lake Sediment and Water Data, Northern Labrador (NTS 14C and parts of 14D and 24A); Geological Survey of Canada Open File 2690

28 October 1993




Energy, Mines and
Resources, Canada

Energie, Mines et
Ressources, Canada

Canada

**NATIONAL GEOCHEMICAL RECONNAISSANCE
LAKE SEDIMENT AND WATER GEOCHEMICAL DATA
NEWFOUNDLAND 1993
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF CANADA OPEN FILE 2690
NTS 14C and parts of 14D and 24A**



**National Topographic System reference and index to adjoining
geochemical reconnaissance surveys**

Open File 2690 represents a contribution to the Canada - Newfoundland Cooperation Agreement on Mineral Development (1990-1994), a subsidiary agreement under the Economic and Regional Development Agreement. This project was managed by the Geological Survey of Canada.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | pages |
|--|-----------------|
| INTRODUCTION | I-1 |
| CREDITS | I-1 |
| DESCRIPTION OF SURVEY AND SAMPLE MANAGEMENT | I-1 |
| ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES | I-3 |
| SUMMARY OF ANALYTICAL DATA AND METHODS | I-5 |
| COMPARISON OF DATA PRODUCED BY TWO METHODS | I-6 |
| PRESENTATION AND INTERPRETATION OF GOLD DATA | I-6 |
| REFERENCES | I-7 |
| FIELD OBSERVATIONS LEGEND | I-8 |
| DATA LISTINGS | II-1 to II-102 |
| SUMMARY STATISTICS | III-1 to III-51 |
| SAMPLE LOCATION MAP (1:250 000 SCALE) | in pocket |

GSC OPEN FILE 2690 REGIONAL LAKE SEDIMENT AND WATER GEOCHEMICAL DATA, LABRADOR NTS 14C and parts of 14D and 24A

INTRODUCTION

Open File 2690 contains new data for gold and 25 other elements obtained by re-analyzing lake sediments collected in 1978 and 1985 from 1228 sites in northern Labrador. Original analytical data selected from Open File 559 (published in 1979), and Open File 1209 (published 1986) for 13 or 17 elements plus loss-on-ignition in sediments, and uranium, pH and fluoride values in concomitant waters, are also included in this open file.

The original reconnaissance surveys was carried out by the Geological Survey of Canada (GSC) in conjunction with the Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy under the terms of the Canada - Newfoundland Agreement on a Uranium Reconnaissance Program (1976-1982) and the Canada-Newfoundland Mineral Development Agreement (1984-1989). Analyses of archive samples for Open File 2690 were undertaken under the Canada - Newfoundland Cooperation Agreement on Mineral Development (1990-1994).

Regional geochemical surveys have been carried out by the GSC in Labrador since 1977. A total of 21 open files are available that provide complete coverage of Labrador. Areas surveyed, with associated open file numbers, are shown in Figure 1. Figure 2 illustrates coverage across Canada. Data from all open files are available on 3.5 or 5.25 inch diskettes, or in the original published form.

Analytical results and field observations are used to build a national geochemical data base for resource assessment, mineral exploration, geological mapping and environmental studies. Sample collection, preparation procedures and analytical methods are strictly specified and carefully monitored to ensure consistent and reliable results regardless of the area, the year or the analytical laboratory.

CREDITS

P.W.B. Friske coordinated the activities of contract and GSC staff under the reanalysis program.

E.H.W. Hornbrook directed the original survey.

Contracts were let to the following companies for sample collection, preparation, original analyses and reanalyses and were managed by Geological Survey of Canada staff as follows:

Collection (1978): Marshall Macklin Monaghan
Toronto, Ontario
E. Hornbrook, Y.T. Maurice,
(GSC)

Collection (1985): M.P.H. Consulting Ltd.
Toronto, Ontario
P.W.B. Friske, E.H.W. Hornbrook
(GSC)

Preparation (1978/85): Golder Associates
Ottawa, Ontario
J.J. Lynch (GSC)

Analysis (1978): Chemex Labs, Ltd.
Vancouver, British Columbia

Barringer Magenta Ltd.
Rexdale, Ontario

Atomic Energy of Canada Ltd.
Ottawa, Ontario

J.J. Lynch (GSC)

Analysis (1985): Barringer Magenta Ltd.
Rexdale, Ontario

Barringer Magenta (Alta.), Ltd.
Calgary, Alberta

J.J. Lynch (GSC)

Preparation (1992): Bondar-Clegg & Company
Gloucester, Ontario
J.J. Lynch (GSC)

Analysis (1992): Becquerel Laboratories
Mississauga, Ontario
J.J. Lynch (GSC)

M. McCurdy edited open files and coordinated open file production.

H. Gross and S.W. Adcock provided computer processing support.

C.C. Durham, S.J. Day, S. Carberry, and R. Balma provided technical assistance.

DESCRIPTION OF SURVEY AND SAMPLE MANAGEMENT

Helicopter-supported sample collection was carried out during the summers of 1978 and 1985. Lake sediment and water samples were collected at an average density of one sample per 12.04 km² throughout the 14 782 square kilometres covered by the survey.

Sample site duplicate samples were routinely collected in each analytical block of twenty samples. Field observations were recorded on standard forms used by the Geological Survey of Canada (Garrett, 1974).




Fig. 1. Areas of Labrador covered by geochemical surveys, showing current GSC open file numbers.




Fig. 2. Drainage surveys to National Geochemical Reconnaissance standards.

Site positions were marked on 1:250 000 scale NTS maps in the field and later digitized at the Geological Survey in Ottawa to obtain Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) coordinates. The dominant rock types in the lake catchment basins were identified on appropriate geological maps used as the bedrock geological base on NGR maps.

Samples were dried at field headquarters before shipment to sample preparation labs for further air-drying, followed by crushing and ball milling. The minus 80 mesh (177 micron) fraction was obtained and used for subsequent analyses. At this time, control reference and blind duplicate samples were inserted into each block of twenty sediment samples. For the water samples, only control reference samples were inserted into the block. There were no blind duplicate water samples.

Additional lake sediment material required for INAA analyses in 1992 was taken from archive storage. Particle reduction was accomplished using a ceramic puck mill and -80 mesh sieving.

Analytical data from labs were monitored for reliability with standard methods used by the Applied Geochemistry Subdivision at the Geological Survey of Canada.

ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

Instrumental Neutron Activation Analysis (INAA)

Weighed and encapsulated samples are packaged for irradiation along with internal standards and international reference materials. Samples and standards are irradiated together with neutron flux monitors in a two-megawatt pool type reactor. After a seven day decay period, samples are measured on a high resolution germanium detector. Computer control is achieved with a Microvax II computer. A typical counting time is 500 seconds. Elements determined by INAA include: Ag, As, Au, Ba, Br, Cd, Ce, Co, Cr, Cs, Eu, Fe, Hf, Ir, La, Lu, Mo, Na, Ni, Rb, Sb, Sc, Se, Sm, Sn, Ta, Tb, Te, Th, U, W, Yb, Zn, and Zr. The sample weights are also reported. Data for Ag, Cd, Ir, Se, Sn, Te, Zn, and Zr are not published because of inadequate detection limits and/or precision.

Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS) and Other Analyses

For the determination of Zn, Cu, Pb, Ni, Co, Ag, Mn, Fe, and Cd (1985), a 1 g sample was reacted with 6 ml of a mixture of 4M HNO₃ and M HCl in a test tube overnight at room temperature. After digestion, the test tube was immersed in a hot water bath at room temperature and brought up to 90 degrees C and held at this temperature for 2 hours with periodic shaking. The sample solution was then diluted to 20 ml with metal-free water and mixed. Zn, Cu, Pb, Ni, Co, Ag, Mn and Fe were determined by atomic absorption spectroscopy using an air-acetylene flame. Background corrections were made for Pb, Ni, Co, Ag, and Cd (1985).

Arsenic was determined by atomic absorption using a hydride evolution method wherein the arsenic was evolved as AsH₃ and passed through a heated quartz tube in the light path of an atomic absorption spectrophotometer. The method is described by Aslin (1976).

Molybdenum, and in 1985, vanadium, were determined by atomic absorption spectroscopy using a nitrous oxide acetylene flame. A 0.5 g sample was reacted with 1.5 ml concentrated HNO₃ at 90 degrees C for 30 minutes. At this point 0.5 ml concentrated HCl was added and the digestion continued at 90 degrees C for an additional 90 minutes. After cooling, 8 ml of 1250 ppm Al solution were added and the sample solution diluted to 10 ml before aspiration.

Mercury was determined by the Hatch and Ott procedure with some modifications. The method is described by Jonasson et al. (1973). A 0.5 gram sample was reacted with 20 ml concentrated HNO₃ and 1 ml concentrated HCl in a test tube for 10 minutes at room temperature prior to two hours of digestion at 90 degrees C in a hot water bath with periodic mixing. After digestion, the sample solutions were cooled and diluted to 100 ml with metal-free water. The Hg present was reduced to the elemental state by the addition of 10 ml 10% w/v SnSO₄ in M H₂SO₄. The Hg vapour was then flushed by a stream of air into an absorption cell mounted in the light path of an atomic absorption spectrophotometer. Absorption measurements were made at 253.7 nm.

Loss-on-ignition was determined using a 500 mg sample. The sample, weighed into a 30 ml beaker, was placed in a cold muffle furnace and brought up to 500 degrees C over a period of two to three hours. The sample was held at this temperature for four hours, then allowed to cool to room temperature for weighing.

Fluorine was determined as described by Ficklin (1970). A 250 mg sample was sintered with 1 gram of a flux consisting of two parts by weight sodium carbonate and one part by weight potassium nitrate. The residue was then leached with water. The sodium carbonate was neutralized with 10 ml 10% (w/v) citric acid and the resulting solution was diluted to 100 ml with water. The pH of the solution should range from 5.5 to 6.5. The fluoride content of the test solution was measured using a fluoride ion electrode. Standard solutions contained sodium carbonate and citric acid in the same quantities as the sample solution.

Tungsten was determined as follows: a 0.2 g sample of lake sediment was fused with 1 g K₂S₂O₇ in a rimless test tube at 575 degrees Centigrade for 15 minutes in a furnace. The cooled melt was then leached with 10 ml concentrated HCl in a water bath heated to 85 degrees Centigrade. After the soluble material was completely dissolved, the insoluble material was allowed to settle and an aliquot of 5 ml was transferred to another test tube. Five ml of 20% SnCl₂ solution was then added to the sample aliquot, mixed, and heated for 10 minutes at 85 degrees Centigrade in a hot water bath. A one-ml aliquot of

dithiol solution (1% dithiol in iso-amyl acetate) was added to the test solution and the test solution was then heated for 4-6 hours at 80-85 degrees Centigrade in a hot water bath, cooled, and 2.5 ml kerosene added to dissolve the globule. The colour intensity of the kerosene solution was measured at 630 nm using a spectrophotometer. A detailed description of the method is given by Quin and Brooks (1972).

Antimony was determined in lake sediments as described by Aslin (1976). A 500 mg sample was placed in a test tube: three ml concentrated HNO₃ and 9 ml concentrated HCl were added and the mixture allowed to stand overnight at room temperature. The mixture was heated slowly to 90 degrees Centigrade and maintained at this temperature for at least 90 minutes. The solution was cooled and diluted to 10 ml. A 400 microlitre aliquot of this test solution was removed and diluted to 10 ml with 1.8 M HCl. The antimony in an aliquot of this dilute solution was then determined by hydride evolution - atomic absorption spectrometry.

Uranium in 1978 was determined using a neutron activation method with delayed neutron counting. A detailed description of the method is provided by Boulanger *et al.* (1975). In brief, a 1 g sample was weighed into a 7 dram polyethylene vial, capped, and sealed. The irradiation was provided by a Slowpoke reactor with an operating flux of 10¹² neutrons/cm²/second. The samples were pneumatically transferred from an automatic loader to the reactor, where each sample was irradiated for 60 seconds. After irradiation, the sample was again transferred pneumatically to the counting facility where, after a 10 second delay, the sample was counted for 60 seconds with six BF₃ detector tubes imbedded in paraffin. Following counting, the samples were automatically ejected into a shielded storage container. Calibration was carried out twice a day at a minimum using natural materials of known uranium concentration.

Uranium was determined in 1985 using a neutron activation method with delayed neutron counting. A detailed description of the original method is provided by Boulanger *et al.* (1975). In brief, a 1 gram sample was irradiated for 20 seconds in a Slowpoke reactor. The operating flux was 5 x 10¹¹ neutrons/cm²/second. After irradiation, the samples were transferred to the counting facility where, after a 10 second delay, each sample was counted for 20 seconds. Calibration was carried out twice a day at a minimum using natural materials of known uranium concentration.

Water Analyses

Uranium in waters (1978) was determined by fission track analysis. The 225 ml of sample remaining following analysis for fluoride and pH was acidified with 3 ml concentrated HNO₃. After a two week waiting period to ensure total dissolution of any precipitated uranium, a 5 microliter aliquot of the sample was removed, placed on a polycarbonate tape, and dried. The tape was irradiated in a nuclear reactor at McMaster University for one hour at a flux of 10¹³ neutrons/cm²/second. The irradiated tape was etched

with 25% NaOH solution and the fission tracks were counted with an optical counter fitted to a microscope. The number of tracks was proportional to the uranium concentration. Each tape contained its own calibration standards, blanks, and sample duplicates.

Uranium in waters (1985) was determined by a laser-induced fluorometric method using a Scintrex UA-3 uranium analyzer. A complexing agent, known commercially as Fluran and composed of sodium pyrophosphate and sodium monophosphate (Hall, G.E.M., 1979) was added to produce the uranyl pyrophosphate species, which fluoresces when exposed to the laser. Since organic matter in the sample could cause unpredictable behaviour, a standard addition method was used. Further, the reaction of uranium with Fluran may either be delayed or sluggish; for this reason an arbitrary 24 hour time delay between the addition of the Fluran and the actual reading was incorporated into this method. In practice, 500 µl Fluran solution was added to a 5 ml sample and allowed to stand for 24 hours. At the end of this period, fluorescence readings were made with the addition of 0.0, 0.2, and 0.4 ppb uranium. For samples with higher concentrations, the additions were 0.0, 2.0, and 4.0 ppb uranium (20 µl aliquots of 55 or 550 ppb U were used). All readings were taken against a sample blank.

Hydrogen ion activity (pH) was measured in 1978 with a Beckman combination electrode and a Model 401 Orion specific ion meter.

In 1985, hydrogen ion activity (pH) was measured with a combination glass-calomel electrode and a pH meter.

Fluoride in lake water samples (1978) was determined using an Orion fluoride electrode and a Model 401 Orion specific ion meter. Prior to measurement, an aliquot of the sample was mixed with an equal volume of a modified TISAB (total ionic strength adjustment buffer). The modification consisted of adding 60 ml of 8M KOH solution to the buffer. This permitted the reanalysis of fluoride in acidified water samples when required. When this analysis was necessary, acidified standard solutions were used for calibration.

Fluoride in lake water samples (1985) was determined using a fluoride electrode. Prior to measurement, an aliquot of the sample was mixed with an equal volume of a modified TISAB II (total ionic strength adjustment buffer). The TISAB II buffer solution was prepared as follows: to 50 ml metal free water was added 57 ml glacial acetic acid, 58 g NaCl and 4 g CDTA (cyclohexylene dinitrilo tetraacetic acid). The solution was stirred and cooled to room temperature. Using a pH meter, the pH was adjusted to between 5.0 and 5.5 by slowly adding 5 M NaOH solution. The solution was cooled and diluted to one litre in a volumetric flask.

Table 1 provides a summary of analytical data and methods.

Table 1. Summary of Analytical Data and Methods

| ELEMENT | | DETECTION LEVEL | | METHOD |
|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----|--------|
| SEDIMENTS: | | | | |
| Ag | Silver | 0.2 | ppm | AAS |
| As | Arsenic | 1 | ppm | HY-AAS |
| As | Arsenic | 0.5 | ppm | INAA |
| Au | Gold | 2 | ppb | INAA |
| AuWt | Sample Weight | 0.01 | g | - |
| Ba | Barium | 50 | ppm | INAA |
| Br | Bromine | 0.5 | ppm | INAA |
| Cd | Cadmium | 0.2 | ppm | AAS |
| Ce | Cerium | 5 | ppm | INAA |
| Co | Cobalt | 2 | ppm | AAS |
| Co | Cobalt | 5 | ppm | INAA |
| Cr | Chromium | 20 | ppm | INAA |
| Cs | Cesium | 0.5 | ppm | INAA |
| Cu | Copper | 2 | ppm | AAS |
| Eu | Europium | 1 | ppm | INAA |
| F | Fluorine | 40 | ppm | ISE |
| Fe | Iron | 0.02 | pct | AAS |
| Fe | Iron | 0.2 | pct | INAA |
| Hf | Hafnium | 1 | ppm | INAA |
| Hg | Mercury | 10 | ppb | AAS |
| La | Lanthanum | 2 | ppm | INAA |
| LOI | Loss-on-ignition | 1 | pct | GRAV |
| Lu | Lutetium | 0.2 | ppm | INAA |
| Mn | Manganese | 5 | ppm | AAS |
| Mo | Molybdenum | 2 | ppm | AAS |
| Mo | Molybdenum | 1 | ppm | INAA |
| Na | Sodium | 0.02 | pct | INAA |
| Ni | Nickel | 2 | ppm | AAS |
| Ni | Nickel | 10 | ppm | INAA |
| Pb | Lead | 2 | ppm | AAS |
| Rb | Rubidium | 5 | ppm | INAA |
| Sb | Antimony | 0.2 | ppm | HY-AAS |
| Sb | Antimony | 0.1 | ppm | INAA |
| Sc | Scandium | 0.2 | ppm | INAA |
| Sm | Samarium | 0.1 | ppm | INAA |
| Ta | Tantalum | 0.5 | ppm | INAA |
| Tb | Terbium | 0.5 | ppm | INAA |
| Th | Thorium | 0.2 | ppm | INAA |
| U | Uranium | 0.2 | ppm | INAA |
| U | Uranium | 0.2 | ppm | NADNC |
| V | Vanadium | 5 | ppm | AAS |
| W | Tungsten | 2 | ppm | COL |
| W | Tungsten | 1 | ppm | INAA |
| Yb | Ytterbium | 2 | ppm | INAA |
| Zn | Zinc | 2 | ppm | AAS |
| WATERS: | | | | |
| F-W | Fluoride | 20 | ppb | ISE |
| pH | Hydrogen ion activity | - | - | GCM |
| U-W | Uranium | 0.01/0.05 | ppb | FT/LIF |

- | | |
|--------|--|
| AAS | - atomic absorption spectrometry |
| COL | -colorimetry |
| FT | - fission track |
| GCM | - glass Calomel electrode and pH meter |
| GRAV | - gravimetry |
| HY-AAS | - atomic absorption using hydride evolution |
| INAA | - Instrumental Neutron Activation Analysis |
| ISE | - ion selective electrode |
| LIF | - laser-induced fluorescence |
| NADNC | - neutron activation, delayed neutron counting |

COMPARISON OF DATA PRODUCED BY TWO METHODS

The data listed in II-1 to II-102 allows users to make a comparison of data generated by two different analytical methods for a number of elements. Before attempting such a comparison some caution should be exercised.

1. The original data for Ni, Co, As, Mo, and Fe were obtained by AAS using a partial extraction (HNO₃ and HCl). The data for these elements obtained on re-analysis are by INAA, which produces 'total' data. Hence, the original data will likely be somewhat lower than the INAA data.
2. The data for U were derived by a 'total' method, both originally and on re-analysis.
3. The sample preparation for the original analyses differed from the preparation employed for the re-analysis. Originally, a portion of the collected sample was prepared. Prior to re-analysis all of the remaining original sample was prepared and bottled. As a result, most of the original data were obtained from a different split of the unprepared sample than that which was used for re-analysis. Disagreement between original and re-analyzed data for some elements might be attributed to heterogeneity of the two different splits used for the two analyses.

PRESENTATION AND INTERPRETATION OF GOLD DATA

The following general discussion reviews the format used to present the gold geochemical data and outlines some important points to consider when interpreting this data. This discussion is included in recognition of the special geochemical behaviour and mode of occurrence of gold in nature and the resultant difficulties in obtaining and analyzing samples which reflect the actual concentration level at a given site.

Samples that have gold values that are statistically above approximately the 90th percentile, or those with LOI values below 10%, are normally analyzed again in accordance with standard NGR procedures. **There will be no repeat data published in Open File 2690 however, as insufficient material remained after the initial neutron activation analyses.** The correct interpretation of gold geochemical data from regional stream sediment or lake sediment surveys requires an appreciation of the unique chemical and physical characteristics of gold and its mobility in the surficial environment. Key properties of gold that distinguish its geochemical behaviour from most other elements (Harris, 1982) include:

- 1) Gold occurs most commonly in the native form which is chemically and physically resistant. A significant proportion of the metal is dispersed in a micron-sized particulate form,

and the high specific gravity of gold results in a heterogeneous distribution, especially in stream sediment and clastic-rich (low LOI) lake sediment environments. In organic-rich fluvial and lake sediments, gold distribution appears to be more homogeneous.

- 2) Gold typically occurs at low concentrations in the ppb range. Whereas gold concentrations of only a few ppm may represent economic deposits, background levels in stream and centre-lake sediments seldom exceed 10 ppb, and commonly are near the detection limit of 2 ppb.

These factors result in a particle sparsity effect wherein very low concentrations of gold are heterogeneously enriched or depleted in the surficial environment. Hence, a major problem facing the geochemist is to obtain a representative sample. In general, in areas where concentrations of gold in sediments are low, and/or grain sizes of the gold present relatively high, proportionally larger samples are required to reduce the uncertainty between subsample analytical values and actual values. Conversely, as actual gold concentrations increase or grain size decreases, the number of gold particles to be shared in random subsamples increases and variability of results decreases (Clifton et al., 1969; Harris, 1982). The limited amount of material collected during the rapid, reconnaissance-style regional surveys and the need to analyze for a broad spectrum of elements, precludes the use of a significantly large sample weight for the gold analyses. Therefore, to obtain representative samples, grain size is reduced by sieving and milling of the dried sediments.

The following control methods are currently employed to evaluate and monitor the sampling and analytical variability which are inherent in the analysis of gold in geochemical media:

- (1) For each block of 20 samples:
 - (a) random insertion of a standard reference sample to control analytical accuracy and long-term precision;
 - (b) collection of a field duplicate (two samples from one site) to measure sampling and analytical variance;
 - (c) analysis of a second subsample (blind duplicate) from one sample to measure and control short-term precision or analytical variance.

In summary, geochemical follow-up investigations for gold should be based on a careful consideration of all geological and geochemical information, and especially a careful appraisal of gold geochemical data and its variability. In some instances, prospective follow-up areas may be indirectly identified by pathfinder element associations in favourable geology, although an analogous gold response due to natural variability may be lacking. Once an anomalous area has been

identified, field investigations should be designed to include detailed geochemical follow-up surveys and collection of large representative samples. Subsequent repeat subsample analyses will increase the reliability of results and permit a better understanding of natural variability which can then be used to improve sampling methods and interpretation.

FIELD DATA LEGEND

Table 2 describes the field and map information appearing on the following pages preceding the analytical data for each sample site.

REFERENCES

- Aslin, G.E.M.** (1976) The determination of arsenic and antimony in geological materials by flameless atomic absorption spectrophotometry; *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, Vol. 6, pp. 321-330.
- Boulanger, A., Evans, D.J.R. and Raby, B.F.** (1975) Uranium analysis by neutron activation delayed neutron counting; *Proceedings of the 7th Annual Symposium of Canadian Mineral Analysts*, Thunder Bay, Ontario, September 22-23, 1975.
- Clifton, H.E., Hunter, R.E., Swanson, F.J., and Phillips, R.L.** (1969) Sample size and meaningful gold analysis; *U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 625-C*.
- Ficklin, W.H.** (1970) A rapid method for the determination of fluoride in rocks and soils, using an ion selective electrode; *U.S. Geol. Surv. Paper 700C*, pp. 186-188.
- Friske, P.W.B. and Hornbrook, E.H.W.** (1991) Canada's National Geochemical Reconnaissance Program; *in Transactions of the Institution of Mining and Metallurgy, Section B; Volume 100*, p. 47-56.
- Garrett, R.G.** (1974) Field data acquisition methods for applied geochemical surveys at the Geological Survey of Canada; *Geol. Surv. Can. Paper 74-52*.
- Hall, G.E.M.** (1979) A study of the stability of uranium in waters collected from various geological environments in Canada; *in Current Research, Part A, Geological Survey of Canada Paper 79-1A*, pp. 361-365.
- Harris, J.F.** (1982) Sampling and analytical requirements for effective use of geochemistry in exploration for gold; *in Levinson, A.A., Editor, Precious Metals in the Northern Cordillera, proceedings of a symposium sponsored by the Association of Exploration Geochemists and the Cordilleran Section of the Geological Association of Canada*, pp. 53-67.
- Jonasson, I.R., Lynch, J.J. and Trip, L.J.** (1973) Field and laboratory methods used by the Geological Survey of Canada in geochemical surveys; No. 12, Mercury in Ores, Rocks, Soils, Sediments and Water, *Geol. Surv. Can. Paper 73-21*.
- Quin, B.F. and Brooks, R.R.** (1972) The rapid determination of tungsten in soils, stream sediments, rocks, and vegetation; *Anal. Chim. Acta. 58*, pp. 301-309.

TABLE 2. Field Observations Legend

| FIELD RECORD | DEFINITION | TEXT CODE |
|--------------|--|--|
| MAP SHEET | National Topographic System (NTS): lettered quadrangle (1:250 000 or 1:50 000 scale) Part of sample number | 14C, 14D, or 24A |
| SAMPLE ID | Remainder of sample number: Year Field crew Sample sequence number | 78 or 85 1 or 3 001-999 |
| REP STAT | Replicate status; the relationship of the sample to others within the analytical block of 20: Routine regional sample First of field duplicate Second of field duplicate | 00 10 20 |
| UTM | Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) Coordinate System; digitized sample location coordinates. | |
| ZN | Zone (7 to 22) | |
| EASTING | UTM Easting in metres | |
| NORTHING | UTM Northing in metres | |
| ROCK UNIT | Major rock type of catchment area: Churchill Province APHEBIAN AND EARLIER (?) granulite, pyroxene gneiss, charnockite; minor granitic gneiss, mylonitic gneiss, amphibolite, ultrabasic intrusions garnet-quartz-feldspar gneiss, chiefly mylonitized, locally graphitic; includes some granulite granitic gneiss, granodioritic gneiss, migmatite, agmatite, amphibolite paragneiss; includes biotite-quartz-feldspar gneiss, garnet-biotite-quartz-feldspar gneiss, hornblende gneiss, augen gneiss, graphitic gneiss, minor quartzite, and amphibolite Nain Province ARCHEAN granitic and granodioritic gneiss, migmatite, granulite, amphibolite, minor paragneiss and metasedimentary rocks; small ultrabasic intrusions included; diabase dykes locally abundant <i>INTRUSIVE ROCKS</i> PALEOHELIKIAN adamellite suite: adamellite, monzonite, syenite, granodiorite, granite, and their hypersthene-bearing equivalents forsendite, mangerite, opdalite, and charnockite; includes minor gabbro, norite, anorthositic gabbro and anorthosite KIGLAPAIT LAYERED INTRUSION: mainly troctolite, gabbro, and olivine gabbro; minor anorthosite, pyroxenite, ferrosyenite anorthosite suite: anorthosite, anorthositic gabbro, leucotroctolite; minor gabbro, monzonite, granodiorite, ferrosyenite PALEOHELIKIAN AND EARLIER (?) granite to granodiorite, massive to poorly foliated, with inclusions of granitic gneiss | AUWR AUWY AUWG AUWP AREG PH13 PH12 PH11 PHL9 |

| FIELD RECORD | DEFINITION | TEXT CODE |
|----------------|---|--|
| ROCK AGE | Stratigraphic age of dominant rock type in catchment basin: Paleohelikian Paleohelikian and earlier (?) Aphebian and earlier (?) Archean | 06 06 05 02 |
| LAKE AREA | The area of the water body sampled: Pond 1/4 to 1 square kilometre 1 to 5 square kilometres greater than 5 square kilometres | pond .25-1 1-5 >5 |
| LAKE DEPTH | Distance in meters from the surface of the lake to the bottom | 0 - 99 |
| TERRAIN RELIEF | Relief of lake catchment basin: Low Medium High | Low Med Hi |
| SAMPLE CONT. | Contamination; human or natural: None Work Camp Fuel Gossan | - Wo Ca Fu Go |
| SAMPLE COLOUR | Sediment sample colour; up to two colours may be selected: Tan Yellow Green Grey Brown Black | Tan Yellow Green Grey Brown Black |
| SUSP MATL | Suspended matter in water: None Heavy Light | - Heavy Light |
| Miscellaneous | Refers to missing data in any field no sample material for analysis parts per million parts per billion percent weight (of sample) gram | * ns ppm ppb pct Wt g |